

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/332,803	Applicant(s) VOGELS, RONALD	
	Examiner David Guzo	Art Unit 1636	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Amended

(1) David Guzo.

(3) _____

(2) G. Scott Dorland.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

72, 76, 89

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative gave approval for an examiner's amendment to the claims which obviated a potential 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejection concerning the word "derived". The amendment to the specification was necessary to provide the proper SEQ ID NO identifier to a sequence disclosed in the drawings..